



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,520	0	07/09/2001 David W. Smith 2000.054300		4919	
23720	7590 05/04/2005			EXAMINER	
	•	AN & AMERSON	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				2131	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Carminer		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Arayind K. Moorthy		09/901,520	SMITH ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be availation under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled Extensions of time may be availation under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled Extensions of time may be availation under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled If the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a may within the stabulory minimum of thirly (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, and provided the status of the communication of the period for reply valley, and the status of the status o	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be arealable under the provisions of 37° CFR 1.35(q). In no event, however, may a reply be simply field - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expine SIX (0) MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expine SIX (0) MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expine SIX (0) MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply reported by the Officie the Than three monitors after the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search placent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) See Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application requires that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application Provision of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3		Aravind K. Moorthy	2131					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variety under the provision of 3 of ER in 15(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided of the provision o								
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2005 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) and patent Application (PTO-152).	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuary 2005.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	•	•						
Al) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1s/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	,—						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09	4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to extriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on July								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·_							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	•	•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 24 January 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are pending in the application.
- 3. Claims 1-25 have been rejected.

Response to Amendment

4. The examiner approves the amendment to the abstract. The abstract no longer exceeds the 150-word limit.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,520 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

6. Claims 1, 3-5, 9-12, 15, 17, 18, 20-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

anticipated by Quigley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,650,624 B1.

As to claims 1 and 15, Quigley et al discloses a communications system, comprising:

a physical layer hardware unit adapted to communicate data over a

communications channel in accordance with assigned transmission parameters,

the physical layer hardware unit being adapted to receive an incoming signal over

the communications channel and sample the incoming signal to generate a digital

received signal [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6]; and

a processing unit adapted to execute a standard mode driver in a standard

mode of operation and a privileged mode driver in a privileged mode of operation,

wherein the standard mode driver includes program instructions adapted to

extract encrypted data from the digital received signal and pass the encrypted data

to the privileged mode driver, and the privileged mode driver includes program

instructions adapted to decrypt the encrypted data to generate decrypted data

including control codes and transfer the control codes to the physical layer

hardware unit, the physical layer hardware being adapted to configure its assigned

transmission parameters based on the control codes [column 24 line 54 to column

25 line 6].

As to claim 3, Quigley et al discloses that the privileged mode of operation comprises a

system management mode of operation [column 26, lines 42-51].

As to claim 4 and 17, Quigley et al discloses that the standard mode driver includes program instructions adapted to issue a signal to the processing unit to initiate a change from the standard mode of operation to the privileged mode of operation [column 25, lines 29-36].

As to claims 5 and 18, Quigley et al discloses that the signal comprises a system management interrupt [column 14, lines 24-36].

As to claim 9, Quigley et al discloses that the privileged mode driver include program instructions adapted to encrypt the control codes and pass the encrypted control codes to the standard mode driver [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6]. Quigley et al discloses that the standard mode driver includes instructions adapted to send the encrypted control codes to the physical layer hardware unit [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6]. Quigley et al discloses that the physical layer hardware unit is adapted to decrypt the encrypted control codes to reconstruct the control codes [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6].

As to claim 10, Quigley et al discloses that the privileged mode driver includes instructions adapted to transfer the control codes directly to the physical layer hardware unit [column 25, lines 7-18].

As to claim 11, Quigley et al discloses that the processing unit comprises a computer [column 11, lines 16-25].

As to claim 12, Quigley et al discloses that the computer includes:

a processor complex adapted to execute the program instructions in the standard mode driver and the privileged mode driver [column 25, lines 19-35];

a bus coupled to the processor complex [column 25, lines 19-35]; and

Application/Control Number: 09/901,520

Art Unit: 2131

an expansion card coupled to the bus, the expansion card including the physical layer hardware [column 25, lines 19-35].

As to claim 20, Quigley et al discloses sending the control codes to a communications device adapted to transmit the upstream signal in the privileged processing mode [column 26, lines 17-42].

As to claim 21, Quigley et al discloses the method further comprising:

encrypting the control codes in the privileged processing mode [column 25 line 45 to column 26 line 16];

transitioning the processing unit into the standard processing mode [column 25 line 45 to column 26 line 16]; and

sending the encrypted control codes to a physical layer device adapted to transmit the upstream signal in the standard processing mode [column 25 line 45 to column 26 line 16].

As to claim 22, Quigley et al discloses the method further comprising:

decrypting the encrypted control codes in the physical layer device [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6]; and

configuring the physical layer device based on the control codes [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6].

As to claim 25, Quigley et al discloses a modem, comprising:

means for receiving encrypted data over a communications channel in a standard processing mode of a processing unit [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6];

Art Unit: 2131

means for transitioning the processing unit into a privileged processing mode [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6];

means for decrypting the encrypted data in the privileged processing mode [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6];

means for extracting control codes from the decrypted data in the privileged processing mode [column 24 line 54 to column 25 line 6]; and

means for transmitting an upstream signal over the communications channel based on transmission assignments defined by the control codes [column 25, lines 7-18].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quigley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,650,624 B1 as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Fleming, III et al U.S. Patent No. 6,212,360 B1.

As to claims 2 and 16, Quigley et al does not teach that the control codes include at least one of a power level assignment, a frequency assignment, and a time slot assignment.

Fleming, III et al teaches control codes that include at least one of a power level assignment, a frequency assignment, and a tune slot assignment [column 11 line 60 to column 12 line 13].

Application/Control Number: 09/901,520

Art Unit: 2131

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al so that the control code would have been power level assignment.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al by the teaching of Fleming, III et al because adjusting power in the modem it helps overcome rain fades in wireless or satellite systems [column 2, lines 39-46].

8. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quigley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,650,624 B1 as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Weidner et al U.S. Patent No. 5,987,572.

As to claims 6 and 19, Quigley et al teaches that the processing unit includes a memory device adapted to store the encrypted data [column 25, lines 7-18].

Quigley et al does not teach that the standard mode driver includes program instructions adapted to pass a pointer indicating a location of the encrypted data within the memory device to the privileged mode driver.

Weidner et al teaches program instructions adapted to pass a pointer indicating a location of the encrypted data within the memory device to the privileged mode driver [column 4, lines 7-35].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al so that there would have been program instructions adapted to pass a pointer indicating a location of the encrypted data within the memory device to the privileged mode driver.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al by the teaching of Weidner et al because it protects data communicated between the processor and the memory [column 2, lines 9-12].

9. Claims 7, 8, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quigley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,650,624 B1 as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Bestock U.S. Patent No. 5,363,449.

As to claims 7, 8, 23 and 24, Quigley et al does not teach that the privileged mode driver includes program instructions adapted to extract user data from the decrypted data and pass the user data to the standard mode driver. Quigley et al does not teach that the processing unit includes a memory device adapted to store the user data. Quigley et al does not teach that the privileged mode driver includes program instructions adapted to pass a pointer indicating a location of the user data within the memory device to the standard mode driver.

Bestock teaches a privileged mode driver that includes program instructions adapted to extract user data from the decrypted data and pass the user data to the standard mode driver. Bestock teaches that the processing unit includes a memory device adapted to store the user data. Bestock teaches that the privileged mode driver includes program instructions adapted to pass a pointer indicating a location of the user data within the memory device to the standard mode driver [column 4, lines 6-66].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al so that a privileged mode driver would have included program instructions adapted to extract user data from the decrypted data and pass the user data to the standard mode driver. The processing unit would have included a

memory device adapted to store the user data. The privileged mode driver would have included program instructions adapted to pass a pointer indicating a location of the user data within the memory device to the standard mode driver.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al by the teaching of Bestock because verification of a user is taking place on an ongoing basis [column 2, lines 9-28].

10. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quigley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,650,624 B1 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Albrecht et al U.S. Patent No. 6,510,521 B1.

As to claims 13 and 14, Quigley et al does not teach that the processing unit includes a system basic input output system (BIOS) memory adapted to store the privileged mode driver. Quigley et al does not teach that the processing unit is adapted to load the privileged mode driver from the system BIOS into a protected memory location during initialization of the computer.

Albrecht et al teaches that the processing unit includes a system basic input output system (BIOS) memory adapted to store the privileged mode driver. Albrecht et al teaches that the processing unit is adapted to load the privileged mode driver from the system BIOS into a protected memory location during initialization of the computer [column 4, lines 23-44].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Quigley et al so that a system basic input output system (BIOS) memory would have been adapted to store the privileged mode driver. The processing unit would have been adapted to load the privileged mode driver from the system BIOS into a protected memory location during initialization of the computer.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,520 Page 10

Art Unit: 2131

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have modified Quigley et al by the teaching of Albrecht et al because it

provides a more robust approach to preventing unauthorized access to non-volatile storage, in

particular, an approach that does not rely on the access method not being known [column 1, lines

27-32].

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aravind K Moorthy April 26, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100